Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs).—Sir, I do not think there is any thing new for the Government to say. Government stands by the statement made by the Governor in his Address to both the Houses of the Legislature.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The notice of adjournment motion is give not because anything has happened with respect to this matter. The hon. Members are anticipating something. The adjournment motion can be brought here when something actually happens. It was also represented that Sri Ghobragade had stated something. Sri Ghobragade is not the Government of India. If he could bring pressure upon the Government, the hon. Members from Mysore can equally bring pressure on the Government of India. In view of the fact that nothing has happened and this notice is given in anticipation of something that is going to happen, which is quite hypothetical, I am sorry I cannot give my consent to it.

Notice of adjournment motion *re*: the strike of the M.E.I. workers.

†Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—On the 31st of last month, you were pleased to assure me that I would be permitted to speak on the situation arising out of the strike by M.E.I. workers. I have given an adjournment motion in this matter. Today is the 55th day of the strike. The workers' demands are legal and just. They are asking for the recognition of their Union and grant of dearness allowance of Rs. 50 under the Labour Law they are entitled to all those benefits. Unfortunately, when they are peaceful, the Police Department are arresting them and beating them recklessly. I modify my statement and say that the Police are unfortunately beating them recklessly. It is the duty of the Government and the Hon. Labour Minister to come forward with concrete proposals for resolving the Problem. I think he also wants to solve the problem. Unfortunately, verification papers are pending with the Labour Commissioner. It is more than three months since the Labour Commissioner did not bother to refer the matter for adjudication When anti-labour policy is followed, it becomes the duty of this House to see demands of the employees are settled. The employees have been compelled to go on strike for 55 days. What about the fate of the dependents of the workers ? The workers are agitated because one or the other is arrested, harassed and put in the lock-up every day. The law and order situation and the peace of that area is very defficult to maintain. When we look at the behaviour and the aittitude of the Hon. Labour Minister, it looks to him as if nothing has happened there. The Labour Minister is not prepared to give attention to the representations made so far by the workers. On the 31st, representations were made to the Hon. Chief Minister also. They were assured that the Chief Minister would have a talk with the Labour Minister and the Industries

Minister. But we did not get any response from the Labour Minister. The Labour Minister has not moved his little finger to save the situation. Actually he is not prepared to pull up the employer who has gone out of the way.

(*Hon. members Sris: M. S. Krishnan, Aziz Sait, S. Gopala Gowda stoodup*).

Mr SPEAKER.—I permitted Sri V. N. Patil to speak because he has given notice of an adjournment motion. I cannot allow others, who have not given notice in this behalf to speak.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I have been connected with that Union. I am the Secretary of the Union.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Patil is the only person who has given notice of this motion and so I permitted him. Since he referred to the Hon. Labour Minister, I would like to hear from the Hon. Labour Minister. If the Members persist like this, I may not hear him also.

* * * *

Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA.—On a point of order Sir. ನನ್ನ ಕ್ರಮಾ ಲೋಪ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ನೋಟೀಸಿಗೆ ಸೈನ್ ಹಾಕಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ವಿಷಯ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಸೈನ್ ಹಾಕಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರಿಗೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. You are also willing to be enlightened by the members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I had given an opportunity to the concerned member not because I do not know things. I have got sufficient enlightenment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹೆಚ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ನಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಬಂದಂಥ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಕೂಡದು ಎನ್ನುವ ಅರ್ಥವೆ? ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡರು ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಘನತರವಾದ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡುವವರಲ್ಲದೆ ಬೇರೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಕೂಡದು ಎನ್ನುವ ಅರ್ಥ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no force in the point of order. The hon. Member made a reference to the strike which is going on for the last 51 days. It is a continuing affair. The hon. Member must know that strike or hungerstrike or matters relating to law and order, cannot be proper subject matters of adjournment motion. Therefore, I have withheld my consent.

(*Interruptions*)

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—Is it your view?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have said that strike or hunger strike or matter relating to law and order never form the basis of an adjournment motion. I am sorry I cannot allow it.

(*Interruptions*)

* * * Matter not recorded as ordered by the Chair.

SOME HON. MEMBERS.—We will walk out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Members cannot walk out against tee decision of the Charir.

* * * * * *

Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA.—Sir, you were pleased to state, "nothing should be recorded." That means the House is not in order. In such a case it is open to the Speaker to adjourn the sitting for ten or fifteen minutes. When we are sitting here legally, I want to know how it can be said that nothing should be recorded ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have heard the hon. Member. The hon. Member Sri Nanje Gowda has raised an important question as to the circumstauces under which the Chair is entitled to direct not to record the observations made by the hon. members. I want to inform the members that only the proceedings of the business transacted under the rules and with the permission of the Presiding Officer are recorded. Whatever is said without the permission the Presiding Officer and not in accordance with the Rules of procedure, will not form a part of the proceedings. Therefore I was perfec ly within my right to give direction not to record Proceedings whenever hon. Members speak countrary to the directions of the Chair.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—Sir, may I request you to kindly review your ruling ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I can review my ruling if the hon. Member were to show where I am wrong. But that can be done in my Chambers. Now I want to tell the hon. member Sri B. B. Sayanak. That the Hon. Chief Minister is not here. If he raises his motion in the presence of the Chief Minister, it would be better. But if he insists on raising it now, I have no objection. Law and order question cannot be the basis of an adjournment motion. Therefore, if the hon. Member wants to hear the Chief Minister, he may raise it when he is present is the House.

Sri B. B. SAYANAK.—Thank you, Sir.

Sri ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Sir, Sri Jalisatgi has given a Call Attention Notice on the 24th.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That file is not before me.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಖುದ್ದುಸ್ ಅನ್ವಾರ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ನನ್ನದೊಂದು ಕಾಲ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ತಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ಆ ಫೈಲ್ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಆ ನಂತರ ಅದರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. This is not an admission Chamber. I cannot send for the files and look into all those matters in the House. If members have got any grievance about any call attention notice they are requested to meet me in my chambers where admission cases are decided. I therefore

* * * * * Matter not recorded as ordered by the Chair.

request hon. Members not to raise any question here regarding admission of questions, short notice questions, call attention matters and half-an-hour discussion in future.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್ ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲೇ ಹೇಳಬಹುದಲ್ಲಾ ? ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ನಾನು ತಮಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ತಡೆ ಹಿಡಿದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸೆಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಇದೇ ಸೆಷನ್‌ಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತರಿಸಿ ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—I have sent notice of a motion under rule 59 on 19th January 1970 itself with respect to the starting of Congress Exhibitions throughout the State.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall hear the hon. member tomorrow.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have sent notice of one adjournment motion about 50 families on Scheduled Castes in Gangawati.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It appears the hon. member wanted a statement from the Chief Minister.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—That pertains to the Revenue Minister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is merely a Statement from the Revenue Minister I shall allow it. But the Revenue Minister is not present here now. So the hon. Member may raise it when he comes.

Question of privilege *re* : Inconsistent Statement by the Minister for Education and the Deputy Minister for Education

† Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have sent two privilege motions. One is about the inconsistent statements made by the Hon. Deputy Minister for Education in this House. ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಚನ್ನೇಗೌಡರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಖಾತೆಯ ಉಪ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಏಕರೂಪತೆಯ ಒಂದು ಕಾನೂನು ತರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಜ್ಞರ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಟಿವ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೇಳಿದ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಷನ್ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿರಬಹುದು. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ತಜ್ಞರ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದನಂತರ ಸರಕಾರ ಇದನ್ನು ತರಬೇಕೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದರು. ಆದರೆ ಇದೇ ವಿಚಾರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಷನ್ ತರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಎರಡು ಭಿನ್ನ ಭಿನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಜುಕೇಷನ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳು ಇರುವವರು ಒಬ್ಬರೇ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಕಡೆಗೆ ನೋಟ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವಾಗ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳು ಬರಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪುದಾರಿಗೆ ಎಳೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ತೋರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಇದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.